National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

April 1, 2019

(There were audio problems during this call)

Lead-In Song: Lovers in the Wind

( 4:30)

Due to poor audio quality we will do away with the regular formalities

CALLERS

Caller 1 Crystal

(6:00)

I went to court today and I sent you the copies

I also filed and recorded my land records which they were a little surprised there

I filed the complaint on them

I gave them the truth sworn affidavits on everything

Anything over $20 you can have a common law

Subrogation

Everything that I’ve been filing throughout the years

They look a little like OK because they wasn’t expecting this kind of reaction

Also under the Foreign Agent Act of 1938 none of them are registered

And so what this attorney did

They stole my house on a national holiday Martin Luther King That was the 19th to the 21st when courts were closed

The attorney went and put his name on my property on the 18th the day before it even happened which was criminal

He put his name on the property

Two weeks later he put it in his family or friend’s name under another company name another set of attorneys

The attorneys showed up it was e-files so anybody can go on there and go over my case

They embezzled $125,000 from me also all my warrantee deeds and everything

Because I was writing it off before

So I put it in all the different complaints

And then I put nine papers on my land records today

Everybody has to do the affidavit even when you put your affidavit when they try to steal

put the sworn affidavit in and they have to take it

They have to show proof of claim

Anything over $20 you can have a common law jury

Everybody best learn the courses of NLA because going through this since 2013 2014 if it wasn’t for the classes on the phone and meeting people everybody put it together

and it was so helpful

Knowing that the sheriff is the highest in the land

And learning about our Constitution

They want to eject me from my property

I go back to court on April 15

I’m trying to get a few other things in place pertaining to the property and see how they’re going to handle that

Did you get the papers that I e-mailed you?

John: When did you send them?

Jan: I put them into your bin awhile ago

John: I’m having a real hard time understanding people You’re breaking up on me

Crystal: We’re trying to recruit people the kids parents and all

 so they can learn this because it’s so important with the nightmares that we got going on and the school system they’re just taking everyone’s home

I never got no summary judgment

When they sent it out back in 2014 they sent it to the wrong address and to the wrong town

And I never received it I think I sent you that copy also

John: I’ll take a look at it when I get it Where do you stand? What are they doing now?

Crystal: I’m still in my house I got to go back on the 15th of April

They want to eject me from my property

They said I refuse to leave my property

which I put that in as a sworn affidavit and it was notarized and also the volume and page

Show me proof of claim

I am the sole owner of this property

John: Did you file a criminal complaint?

Crystal: Yes oh let me get back to that. They would not as a matter of fact the town

nor the police refused they said We’re not filing nothing on your behalf

John What about the federal court?

Crystal: they are all working together against me

John: Do you feel that you still have more time We’re going to do a few things

Crystal I go back the 15th

Because I fought all these years they’re using me as an example

Because I’ve been representing myself

Four sets of attorneys recused themselves

The judge recused himself

And the one who started all this mess he’s a retired judge which he stole hundreds of thousands of people’s property

Who’s the injured party?

I said Am I a public servant of this court

He said No

I said Is this my grand jury

He said No I’m the grand jury

I said You just committed treason and that’s what they’ve all been doing

They’ve all been committing treason on each and every one of us

People better learn the vocabulary and what you’re talking about

They were so shocked like wait a minute

And I asked for a common law jury because when you go into court it’s between the judge and the attorneys

You’re guilty you don’t even have a chance to prove that you’re innocent

Another thing of which I am very very disturbed

I owned my properties well over forty something years

I’ve had quite a few properties in my day

I sold them

I’m not going to come out with one cent They’re going to leave me penniless

John: Did we do an amicus curiae ?

Crystal I don’t remember

John You’re showing proof of payment

Crystal Everybody better go on your website and look under Illegal Foreclosure There has been in the United States 43 trillion dollars worth of illegal foreclosures

43 trillion and all this is going through these attorneys bankers it’s all corrupted

what they’ve been doing to people

Everybody needs to put a complaint in on each and every one of them

It’s the biggest ponzi scheme and it started with Sheldon Silver

He’s the one that started this mess over 44 45 years ago

He was the former attorney general

John: He’s in jail right now

Crystal Yes He’s in jail but he’s the one that got this scam going

John Tomorrow I’ll take a look and see what papers we have filed

 We’ll see what the next move is

I think we need to do something with an amicus curiae make a point that the judge is participating in this crime Let’s see where it goes from there

John has technical support on the line

Terry took over temporarily for John

(22:14)

Caller 2: Constance

I’m calling because my friend Tania McCash appeared in court on March 29 Friday in Santa Clara County and Judge Manley asked her if she would go take a psych eval or take psych meds or both She said No

He sent her back to jail again

She currently has a habeas corpus that was filed last Monday or Tuesday in federal appellate court the Ninth Circuit

Her case number is 19-70728 She is currently at Elmwood Correctional Facility

She’s in there with gang members dangerous criminals people who were convicted of crimes and stuff She’s in there She has not been convicted of a crime and all that stuff We’re in the process of filing a motion for an emergency hearing to get Judge Manley in Santa Clara County in the Family Mental Health and Drug

She doesn’t have a family because he put a no contact order against her daughter and her two sons graduated She doesn’t do drugs Last time he threw her in in August he released her but on condition and that was to do a drug test every Friday and not be able to leave the county

She was put in on March 1 on her birthday

I know you guys helped her file a habeas corpus through the federal court

Judge Hamilton rejected it and said she hasn’t exhausted all her options

They threw her in jail on March 1

She has a federal appellate habeas corpus

She has a federal appeal on the habeas corpus that you guys helped her file

John: I just got off with technical assistance They said that they’re having problems They had problems last week and problems tonight They’re working on it It will be fixed

Going back to Constance We have to follow up with some more paperwork on that

If someone could do an affidavit on that rejection

Is that something he gave in writing?

Connie: The problem is when Tania has been going through all this legal mess

She’s given various documents to various people but not one person has all the documents

Supposedly two weeks ago Ms Fuller from Judge Hamilton the federal court was going to send me a bunch of information I’ve been unable to get into my computer I’m a targeted individual and my perpetrator hacked in I’m going to try again to get into my computer to get to the file that Lynn Fuller sent me She said that that will give a lot of information

John : The problem is they’re going to continue to go forward no matter what

They’re going through a process that they claim that they have control over her How they can reject a habeas corpus is beyond me What I need is an affidavit from somebody to give me the names of the people If the judge rejected it then that’s the guy we need to send paperwork I don’t recall whether we did a default on this or not

I will have to look through the records to see what we did do

And if we didn’t do the default we’ll push the default through also

Maybe we did do the default I don’t remember

There’s other papers we can file after this

They’re violating her right of due process

That habeas corpus stands

Right now that judge is in jeopardy

He’s broke the law himself He knows what the law is

It is clear what it is

Usually they’re just silent

Usually they push it away or try to hide it

Connie Tania has papers that it is remanded back to Santa Clara

John: That’s what I need to get ahold of

Connie I’ll try to get ahold of all these papers

John Get ahold of the papers Get them to Jan make sure Jan gets a contact number

If I can get that paper that would be really good

We’d work right off that paper

It would be written right on the paper from the court

Connie: Appellate court case 19-70728 I talked to a federal attorney at the federal appellate the ninth circuit I asked Can a motion be submitted for an emergency hearing to get this other judge to cease and desist release her from jail without condition

She said She can’t give legal advice A motion can be submitted A federal attorney will look it over

John The federal court is the most guilty of all the courts in my opinion

because of all the dealings we’ve had with these people

We see the games that they’re playing and the money that they extort

If you’re an individual trying to open up a case in the federal court they ask for an exuberant amount of money lawyers do in order to start a case

You have to be rich to go into federal court

Since it’s inception since it’s beginning we’re going back many hundreds of years ago

it was codified here in the United States It was codified years ago before America was even it was codified here in this nation Habeas Corpus is an emergency process

Three days is too long to wait for an emergency process

If you’re in jail three days is a long time

When they ignore it they’re damaging the individual more

They become part of the problem

They need to assist the individual in restoring him

Connie: If more than one habeas corpus is filed we’re going to do a habeas corpus blitz and get a ton of people to submit them on her behalf to try to get her released from jail

John : That poses a problem If she got all these things going on and now they get ours and they get someone else’s A lawyer will never file a habeas corpus

They could be taking ours and just throwing it in the pile and not reading it

Connie: She’s been under lockdown for 23 hours a day

She can’t go to the library

He sent her back last Friday

John: She’s on lockdown 23 hours a day

We need an affidavit on that too

They need to understand that they will be held liable for this

It is codified

It is clear on how they have to respond

They have to respond in three days

At this point it has defaulted

At this point she has been damaged more

This judge needs to be added in to this whole process as a defendant

Connie She’s Canadian Sylvanian She’s not a United States citizen She’s been here on a green card

John: She’s got every right that you and I have

Connie Her father is in California only for two more weeks She wants to see him before he leaves for Canada She can’t even leave the county without permission

John: Just in the years that we have been involved in the courts It was about 2013 we started this They have become more and more embolden they have become more and more disconnected from concern It gets worse and worse

As soon as the Deep States falls and arrests start to happen their protection is going to be gone

They’re getting bolder

Connie We’ve done numerous web radio broadcasts

John I’m having a hard time hearing you Get me the papers that you can

 I am really tied up but in this case I will find the time to do it as soon as I have the paperwork to do it

Connie This goes back to October 30 there was a protective order issued by her husband and the attorney She wasn’t served She went to her daughter’s school to say good morning to her The principal chased her off of the property She went to the police

The police put her in shackles and handcuffs

John: All of this because of her husband

Connie Her husband owns a multimillion dollar business that makes robotic parts

His attorney is David Lucca David Lucca’s father is Dennis Lucca Dennis Lucca was chief of internal affairs in San Jose, California

Tania has implants in her She if a victim of nonconsensual experimentation

David Lucca or somebody hacked into her e-mail they obtained information and entered it into the financial divorce court

That’s her private medical information she paid thousands of dollars to find out that she’s been implanted against her consent and knowledge

John: You’re breaking up pretty bad Those are the papers that we need I can’t do anything until I get that information Make sure that you leave a contact number

Get ahold of Jan with this information He’ll get it to me I’ll go through it

We’ll see what we can do

Connie It cost me $30 to $50 a day to take her calls from jail

John Write that down too Today phone calls are free One price and that’s it It’s for free There should be no cost for people to be able to call home from prison

We’ll see what we can do

John cannot understand half of what is being said on the calls

Gerard took over

(47:21)

Caller 3 Robert from Michigan

So here is the question of the day: This question will be asked in all caps because it is a corporate comma law comma question If the common law jury was to be brought back and reactivated at what point would that be? Remembering that after that point comma all court records are null and void as repugnant to the Constitution for the United States of America 1787 89 Some of the points in the treaties and whatever I wrote down was the First 1783 Treaty of Paris Second 1992 Corporate Constitution Third Jay Treaty of 1795 Fourth The 1871 Illegal Legislation by Congress President Grant and the Supreme Court of the United States and then Number Five I know I had one more

Any how A lot of people didn’t get it Where I went to was the Fourth

This was the act that created it in 1871 That is why when the change over comes we will be going back to the Republic of 1870

The Constitution of 1870 only had 13 Amendments at that time

with the 13th amendment being the original 13th amendment There will be no 14th amendment which is what is used for anchor babies and restores our Bill of Rights removed in the slaughter house cases No Sixteenth Amendment So no income tax No Seventeenth Amendment that changed the appointment of senators by the state legislators guaranteed states rights to general election of senators

and representatives by general election for the people’s rights This will be restoring the checks and balances between the states rights and the sovereigns rights just as reinstating the original 13th amendment disallows lawyers to hold public office restores checks and balances to the three branches of government executive legislation and judicial

because having lawyers ie people from the same organization or in parenthesis club can collude guarantee the certain acts or legislation will be enacted and survive

Act of 1871

It gets pretty in depth

What changed everything was the slaughterhouse cases

John: Where is this information coming from?

Robert: I pulled this out of Wikipedia

John: All of these cases and all of these Acts of Congress is diversion as you know

It’s null and void It’s as if it never passed

The fact that they removed the original 13th Amendment and took the 14th Amendment and broke it into two to make the 13th Amendment and the 14th Amendment out of one Again fraud Again a crime Again null and void As far as the law is concerned today Donald Trump is making a clear point First of all he’s made a couple of points on who We the People are That we are the sovereigns

We have government by consent

We need to get people involved to take control of their government

As long as the people do not take control of their government we will continue to have this problem

It’s not going away

No matter what Trump does if the people don’t take ahold of this problem it’s not going to go away It’s not going to get fixed in the court And it will return

because the system is set up for some crook to come in or another group of mafia to come in and take control

It’s just a matter of time

All of this is null and void irrelevant

What we have going for us right now is timing

That fact that we’re getting ready to file the next paper which is dealing with all of these different cases We are at a point where this can be taken back

The opportunity is at it’s best point

We see now that the arrests are going to be following very soon

The enemy is on the run

They have no place to hide

They’re being barraged by everyone Congress the Senate and many others.

Many people getting on TV and getting down hard on these people

And they have no defense and they have no place to hide

We know that it’s close

We’re close to having our case together

We’re still moving forward with the case

The timing looks like it’s going to be right

Trump says the people are sovereign

He said it at numerous places

He said it twice at the United Nations he’s said it in speeches

He wants the rule of law back

If he wants the rule of law back that’s what we want

The Thirteenth Amendment is the law of the land

Anybody that is a BAR attorney that has Esquire after their name those people need to resign immediately and if they don’t we’ll give them a period of time at that point they cease to be a citizen That’s what the Constitution says

They cease to be a citizen

Once they cease to be a citizen of the United States they can’t hold any office

and it also says they can’t hold an office of trust

We can kick them out We can impeach them We can’t impeach them but we can indict them The legislators should be impeaching them

State legislators won’t do it in their own states

I’ve never heard of a judge being impeached

If they’re not going to fix it We will fix it

We will fix it through indictment

We will indict every judge

We’ll do it providing we have the money to do it

We need to file the right papers and file them on every judge

There are a lot of judges 94 federal district courts

In order to go after 94 federal district courts Each one of those courts maybe has a dozen judges Let’s just say ten Ten times 94 That’s 900 and some odd judges

We’re looking at over 1,000 judges We don’t have that kind of money to file that kind of paperwork Maybe we could do it in a lump way Put all the names together

One for each federal district We’d only have to file 94 papers

We’ll see how we do that Here is what they have done It is inescapable that they could possibly try to defend this in any way shape or form that is that the United States Supreme Court we’re talking about the federal district courts also but their boss is the responsible one they’re the top dog They’re the ones that have to answer to this

We have notified the United States Supreme Court on at least two occasions that there is subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic

and they have done nothing And the Constitution itself requires well actually the Constitution gives the authority to write the law and in the statutes it requires that the judge do something about this

That if you see subversion you as one of the People have a duty to report this to the President or some judge So here we’ve done that

So what is the big problem? The big problem is a court of record They have concealed courts of record. They have concealed common law courts natural law courts

Who is responsible ? It goes back to the United States Supreme Court

They’re the overseers of this whole thing And they haven’t fixed it

Just about every federal case in the last forty to fifty years is unlawful

Some of these people are guilty

Every criminal court case is null and void unlawful

All these cases have to be reheard again

I have no idea how they’re going to deal with that kind of a problem

There are so many people that are unlawfully in prison

All these cases are unlawful they all operated under fraud

They have this quasi fictional system set up that combines statutes and civil rights

Civil rights are statutory rights

Those are the rights that they think they can bless you with or whatever

The whole system is a major problem

It comes down to going after them for one thing concealment of natural law courts in America

I see no access way to file one

They concealed that court You cannot get access into that court

We’re going to attempt to do that

And we will do that They ignore us but they can only ignore us so far

Trump is very much aware of what we’re doing

He’s going to get a copy of this case when we file it

We want to be careful not to move ahead prematurely

A lot of people are impatient The want to see the arrests sooner

Tweeting and everything is immediate

We expect everything to happen right away

These things take time

The timing is right

We are working to meet that with the necessary paperwork

Robert: Are you aware of February 20 of this year decision that came out of the Supreme Court?

John: What decision?

Robert: Supreme Court Condemns Out of Control Civil Forfeiture Laws

Illegal seizure of property any form

Asset forfeiture

Federal courts ruled against it

I’m pretty sure you are aware of who some of us have been working with here in Michigan.

I don’t want anything exposed at this point

I do talk with Jan and Gerard

They are well aware of where things are

Robert: Trump was in Grand Rapids last week and he mentioned sovereign two times

He also mentioned citizen several times

John : They play word games with the word citizen I’m careful not to use the word citizen in court But there is nothing wrong with the word citizen We are citizens

Robert: If one county manages to reverse things and reinstall the common law through a grand jury called by a sheriff then that would apply to every county in the United States as unified?

John: No because you wouldn’t get the state courts and all the states to recognize this

If they recognize it at one place I doubt that they would recognize it at another place.

This is something that the people have got to do

Our original plan was to do this in New York State

If we used this in New York State it would be used as an example across the nation

We ended up going national

And as we went national we started to shift our focus away from the state and go to the federal

If you win the case on the federal level and take total control back of the courts the people take control of their natural law courts which is the way that it’s supposed to be

no statutes allowed

no codes none of these things are allowed

Judges don’t come in to the court and they are not to come in there to make any statements

concerning law or anything

This is the people’s court

The people are the jurists

They hear the case they make a decision they make a ruling and it’s over

That’s hard for these people to swallow because they’ve been in control for so long

Everything is riding on this case

The timing is perfect Hopefully our case will be perfect enough

The key thing is this: We realize that all we have to do is to win this one case then we walk into every state and take over

First we have to get the first decision done

We’re depending on the patriots to have enough knowledge to understand what we are talking about

People don’t understand a lot of this stuff

(1:32:12)

Caller 4: Dan out of the Carolinas

To the lady that has the ongoing case in California, I hope that this will help

We sovereigns have got to quit acquiescing to this criminal defunct law that is on our lands

and answer only from a Christian standpoint

All capital letters is under a scheme which is not clearly what is on your birth certificate

Every person should be armed with their birth certificate

From 1933 from there onwards that birth certificate from a consensus standpoint was factored into what we have over now about twenty two trillion million dollars Every child that’s born the Powers That Be these lawyers got involved with loans this thing is being never resolved because we can keep on with a debt on a fictional footing from the very get go

and it’s taking our newborn and now they claim it’s about $650,000 that they claim that that newborn child is factored in And then they use that cease approximately eleven conglomerate families

The nom day guere if you look it up in Black’s Law it’s a warlike name and these courts have declared this is what the Nuremberg trial was all about

declaring a war with a type of paper printed out purporting to be you a sovereign when in fact they got you predicated as slave from the plantation

Those people that volunteer under threats duress and coercion this is a means to get redress of grievances when someone is bullying you around even in the courtroom

Get ammunition to understand how we can abate that process or if we show up in a courtroom being commanded by the paperwork we stay out of the BAR and we ask the judge where the BAR is and that we are not understanding the nature of this paperwork and that clearly this does not apply to you do not go any further in participating with that court do not volunteer anything and when it comes down to it other than the fact if you bring me Mr. Solicitor correctly paperwork from the Christian standpoint of who I am lawfully then I am compelled from a Christian to respond or be respondent to paperwork whatever the issues are

I hope this will start sinking in and people will understand

You are a Christian if you are declaring to be a sovereign

John: It goes beyond Christianity because the Declaration is clear All men are created equal.

Also If you play in their sandbox in any way I have refused to go to the BAR I wouldn’t cross the BAR If I had it to do over I’d cross the BAR today

All I did was give them some credibility

I acknowledged that they have something there

I could care less if they have caps or not

It’s subversion It’s a fraud They’re deceiving the people

We shouldn’t go into their sandbox acknowledging their caps acknowledging their BAR

Ignore it

Keep the case focused on the law, the rights of the people, the Constitution, and make your points and move on

Instead of using caps like they do you can put it on your papers properly

We have to be careful to not give them any credibility

It’s a fraud

(1:54:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

I’ve been reading the local rules of the federal circuit court and I’ve discovered at least in one

they discourage the use of capital letters with people that file briefs in the court

Capital letters in legal documents are the result of typewriters.

Once typewriters came along capital letters became possible and a style developed of capital words

We’ve made the transition to laptops and Apples and when we do that all the habits that we have with typewriters that were unavoidable such as fully capital letters we now don’t have to do but lawyers that file briefs in court some of them still follow the habits of typewriters when they file briefs that they draft on their laptop computers

They still underline the names of the cases instead of italicizing them.

There was no italic letters on the old typewriters

They were used to all capital letters and underlining

They used to use two spaces between sentences

That doesn’t apply to the word processing that you do on your laptop

Some people still put two spaces between the sentences.

That’s not appropriate on the computers We don’t have to do that any more

The only reason that we do do it is sheerly out of habit

The only reason they still capitalize letters is purely out of habit

You cannot read all capital letters as readily and as easily and grasp as quickly what it’s saying as you can regular type

A lot of studies come to the same conclusion

So that’s why they want to get rid of capital letters

There may or may not be some nefarious significance attached to capital letters

If someone could show me a law or a court case or an opinion or a statute or a regulation that says that then there may be validity to it

If there’s nothing on the books that says that anywhere then there’s no validity to it

Black’s Law says that there is such a style that uses capital letters

but it didn’t say there was a law that governed the use of capital letters

My conviction is that it came with the typewriter

Whether or not people misuse it to indicate things that are unlawful that’s another question

I was glad to see the federal court in their local rule say we discourage the use of all capital letters

The comments that I make here are my own my opinions are my own and are not to be applied to anybody else that participates in these calls

We went through the Declaration of ‘76

Now we’re starting in to the Constitution of the United States

We’ve come to Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16 says this

Congress shall have the power to provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress

Now we have a division of labor here concerning the militia of the several separate states of the union

The authority for training the militia is according to a discipline prescribed by Congress

That means the Congress of the United States has authority the Constitution delegates it to them to draw up a training manual for the training of the militia

the same training for all militiamen in all of the states of the union

They’re to draw it up that’s the only power that they have

just draw it up that’s it

But it’s up to the states to appoint officers

The federal government, the Congress, the President, the courts of the United States

have no authority the Constitution grants no authority for them to appoint officers of the militia No that’s the states they have that power

Congress has the power to set discipline which means provide for the organizing

Congress can pass a statute that provides for the organizing of the militia of each one of the states

Congress has not done that for many many decades

It is their responsibility to do it that means the law demands that they must do it but they’re not doing it

Americans have never amended this militia clause

The National Guard units are not the militia

DC v Heller 554 US 570 I would encourage everyone to read that case

That was the first case the Supreme Court faced the question of whether the Second Amendment protects a personal right to carry a loaded gun or to have one in your home

is it a personal right or does it have to be attached to the membership of the militia

And the Supreme Court said No It doesn’t have to be attached to membership in the militia

Every man has a personal right

to keep a loaded pistol in your house

DC v Heller 554 US 570 from 2008

The President has the power to call out the militia

He has the authority under the Constitution to do that under certain constraints

But also the governor has a concurrent authority that means at the same time

And whoever calls out the militia first then that man has command of the militia

until further notice

It is a service of concurrent authority

The militia clauses give the general government no authority

The general government That’s the government in Washington DC

give them no authority to provide arms and equipment and ammunition or even pay the militiaman until the President has duly summoned that militiaman

until he has summoned him until he has answered the summons and until he has mustered in

Once those three things have happened he musters in then the government has authority to provide arms, ammunition, uniforms, whatever they want to do

or none at all or just some of them

The Militia Act of 1792 was Congress’s first legislation on this matter

I’m going to read a clause out of that

The Militia Act of 17 and 92 made pursuant to these clauses of our Constitution

Congress passed this legislation

Every free able bodied white **every free able-bodied white male citizen of the respective states**, resident therein, who is or shall be of the age of eighteen years, and under the age of forty-five years (except as is herein after excepted) shall severally and respectively be enrolled in the militia by the captain or commanding officer of the company, within whose bounds such citizen shall reside, and that within twelve months after the passing of this act.

 provide himself with a good musket or firelock, a sufficient bayonet and belt, two spare flints, and a knapsack, a pouch, with a box therein, to contain not less than twenty four cartridges, suited to the bore of his musket or firelock, each cartridge to contain a proper quantity of powder and ball; or with a good rifle, knapsack, shot-pouch, and powder-horn, twenty balls suited to the bore of his rifle, and a quarter of a pound of powder; and shall appear so armed, accoutered and provided, when called out to exercise or into service

The principles are drawn from the common law

Slavery has never been lawful in common law

Slavery was never lawful on the island of Britain

The militiamen of our early existence were called minutemen

They were always ready

Congress legislates legislates concerning the militia and the states execute

Congress has no power to make the states do it

The Sheriff Mack case went to the Supreme Court

Justice Scalia said in that case that the government of the United States in Washington DC has no authority to commandeer any employee of state government

That opinion is buried and ignored

No employee of the United States government has any authority to commandeer any employee of state government of the several states

(2:19:00)

Caller 5 Charles in New Jersey

Isn’t it kind of naïve to think that the state should not control our behavior because man’s heart is evil ? In Seattle , Washington that state is being overrun by drug addicts and homeless and the city is collapsing and the police are not allowed to enforce any of the laws

John: I think there is a problem with them being potentially an alien

or illegal alien Is that the problem with the laws?

People like you and me they’ll slap a statute on in a second

You’re thinking is that we need to have some rules that we need to be held accountable to?

 Charles: Yes

John Who’s going to write those rules?

Charles: Society

John: Who is society?

Charles: What would be your answer

John: Common Law If there is an injury then there is a case

If someone injures you in some way, shape, or form and you go file a paper you can hold that person accountable

Charles I understand how common law works When there is no victim there is no crime What’s going on in Seattle is drugs

John You don’t need a law to tell you that people are selling things and doing things that are unlawful and are damaging

Let’s say drugs were lawful Then you wouldn’t have people selling drugs

You could get it cheaper by going to the local liquor store

If you’re over a certain age and you’re responsible then you can do it

We are responsible for our own behavior

There is a video I think that it’s called The Road to Nowhere

They performed an experiment

They put covers over all of the traffic lights and they just put up STOP signs

Everybody came to the stop sign and yielded to the other

After this experiment took place I think that to this day they don’t have the traffic lights back any more The people were amazed at how well and smooth things worked and how everybody at the intersection yielded to each other

No accidents occurred during this time period

People yield to each other to begin with

Charles I saw a video like that it was done in England where they removed the traffic lights

John I think it was in England We have that video up at our website

When it comes to traffic infractions and things like that people are not going to go speeding through a red light when they know that traffic is coming the other way

They’re going to stop at the red light

If it’s three in the morning and there is no traffic in sight, I’m not going to stop for that light.

If the cop is there he will give you a ticket

It has nothing to do with the problem

There will always be someone who is going to go through the light or do something wrong

And it may not be wrong

It’s all corrupt to begin with

There’s no due process

Everybody loses You always lose

The cop works for the government

The court works for the government

The prosecutor works for the government

You haven’t got a chance

Let’s get back to your question Where do we need rules?

Charles: A city full of homeless drug addicted mentally disturbed people

John That’s because society is decaying because of what they have turned us into

They’ve demoralized the people they’ve demoralized our children They train our children to be godless They take our children in school and teach them what to think

They put all this stuff around us We’ve been demoralized as a people

Common law is the law

That’s the law that we need

If we teach our children and become a society that is responsible and morally minded we’re going to have a lot less problems

Drug problems only disappear when you legalize it and control it

There will no longer be dope pushers in the schoolyards

When you give the government the ability to control one little thing they’ll move to another thing and another thing

They won’t stop

Liberty is freedom from government control Freedom from statutes

Charles The book by Alex deTocqueville What is so amazing about America

People naturally understand one another’s rights They don’t need an enforcer to stand there at every corner

John: Liberty is all about freedom from government control

Charles My initial question was about a video I hope you get to see it

It’s called Seattle My City Is Dying

It’s about the police do not enforce any laws the place is loaded with mentally disturbed drug addicts

John There’s one simple way to fix the problem Do what we’re doing Take control of the courts back into the hands of the people We the People administrate to the juries and get educated where we need to get educated Get justice back into the courts

The people need to get educated and take control

The good people will take control

The good people will do the right thing

And they will bring law and order back

It is because of lawlessness

The solution to lawlessness is the law

The people got to do it

(2:41:31)

(2:42:00)

Caller 6 Jeff

Jeff: People who are not legitimately here in America they have rights over everyone else

This country was formed on principles that are inviolate

We can do as we please as long as you don’t cause harm to anyone else

Some people don’t get it Don’t understand what we’re talking about

Do we have any documentation whatsoever that allows troopers to have any perception of authority over our sheriffs?

John: No The sheriff is top cop

Jeff: I believe that someone has to come up with some kind of mechanism to hold the sheriff accountable

John the problem first that we have to hold accountable is the People

At this point now it’s the People’s fault

We have education We have things we can do now

We can make a lot of changes but if the people don’t step up it’s not going to get done

Your sheriff is not educated because you’re not educated

If the people were educated they would demand that the sheriff be educated

We got to get critical mass going

We need a reasonable number of people behind us moving

We’re counting on having that by the time we file the papers

Don’t wait til the last minute because all of a sudden we take control are uneducated people going to take control of the process?

If we’re going to control the courts if we’re going to control that the process runs properly

then we have to be educated to be able to do that

And if we get that power and authority given to us and then we don’t have the knowledge to exercise it then we have a problem

(2:55:37)

Caller 7

Question for Brent

On a call awhile back he mentioned we had to hear something 28 times before we really get it

That goes back to our education

So many of the things we discuss goes over people’s heads

I know he quoted a number and I was curious what it was

The number may be less than 28

People need to hear things more than once

John apologized for the audio problems

Next week we will cover Jury Nullification